



**KERALA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM**

**Complaint No. 198/2021**

Dated 06<sup>th</sup> December 2021

Present : Sri.P H Kurian,Chairman

Smt. Preetha P Menon, Member

Sri. M.P Mathews, Member

**Complainant**

1. R. Murali Krishnan  
No.572, 15<sup>th</sup> main road,  
Padmanabha Nagar  
Bangalore-560070
2. Anupama C Raman  
No.572, 15<sup>th</sup> main road,  
Padmanabha Nagar  
Bangalore-560070

**Respondents**

1. M/s Nucleus Premium Properties Pvt Ltd,  
(Represented by its Managing Director)  
34/239 C, Near Mariya Park,  
Pativattom Pipelineroad,  
Near NH Bypass, Palarivattom  
Edapally P O, Kochi-682024  
Compass, N.H. Bypass, Thammanam P.O,  
Ernakulam, Kochi-32.
2. Mr. Nashid N P,  
Director,  
M/s Nucleus Premium Properties Pvt Ltd.,  
Nellayaputhenpeedikakkal House,



Thazhekode West P O, Perinthalmanna,  
Malappuram-679352.

3. Roy Alias Roymon  
Ambat House,  
4/466 of Thrikkakkara Municipality,  
Vazhakkala village, Pallipuram Kara,  
Edapally P O, Pin- 682024, Ernakulam

### ORDER

1. The facts of the case are that the Complainant is the Allottee of Apartment number 3B of Nucleus AURA APARTMENT which is the project of the Respondent company. Project "Nucleus Aura" was advertised as the combination of 14 villas and a sky villa containing 12 floors and 22 apartments.
2. In the year 2014-2015, believing in the Respondents, the Complainant had paid the following as per the details given below

#### **Payment Schedule**

Date		Amount Paid
a 30/12/2014	-	Rs. 30,000/-
b. 31/12/2014	-	Rs. 1,70,000/-
c. 10/01/2015	-	Rs. 2,50,000/-
d. 19/01/2015	-	Rs. 1,50,000/-
e. 02/03/2015	-	Rs. 6,00,000/-
<b>Total</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>Rs. 12,00,000/-</b>

3. The Complainants entered into a tripartite agreement with the Respondents on 23/05/2015. The 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent had signed the agreement as the power



of attorney holder of landowners. The Respondents informed the Complainant that the respondents got the building permit copy with a reference number TP1-TBA(18917)/2015 dated 19/06/2015.

4. The completion of the Project and possession of the Nucleas AURA Sky Villa 3B Apartment given in the agreement is as 24 months with a grace period of 3 months from the effective date or the date of the building plan sanctioned whichever is later (total 33 months). This period had expired in the year 2018 itself. According to the Complainant, nothing happened in the Project "Nucleus AURA Sky Villas" and even after 4 years of the agreement, the site for the sky villa is a vacant place with few piles and water. The complainant also submits that there is no permission obtained for Sky Villa from Municipality or government. The respondents had not shown any seriousness in the building works, instead, all the Directors of the Respondent company were busy with their businesses like film fare awards, stage shows etc. Hence the Complainant had demanded to the Respondents to repay the amount of Rs. 12,00,000/-. The Respondents were not ready to repay the amount but insisted the complainants for cancellation of the agreement after 4 and half years of their investment. The site, even after 4 and half years, is still like 2015 status. The complainants have no hope about the completion of Sky Villas and their apartment 3B. The Respondents did not turn towards the demand of complaints for returning their amount and interest.
5. In view of the above the Complainant prayed for the following relief.
  - a) Direct the Respondents to return Rs. 12,00,000/- (Twelve Lakhs only) along with its interest @15.2% from 02/03/2015 till the order of the this authority to complainant and pass a decree accordingly.



6. The Complainant had approached the adjudicating officer, Thiruvananthapuram vide Complaint No. CCP.137/2020 filed on 12.11.2018 and the Hon'ble adjudicating officer had passed an order dated 02/08/2021 stating that the adjudicating officer has no jurisdiction to grant the relief for return of amount with interest as sought for in the above petition and directed the petitioner to present the complaint before this authority.
7. Consequent to this, the above complaint was filed before this authority on 18/08/21. The complaint was taken up on 25/10/21 and posted for counter statement and final hearing on 11.11.2021.
8. On 11/11/2021, both the counsel had attended and were heard. The matter was taken up for orders. The counsels for the respondent accepted the fact that they have abandoned the project "Nucleus AURA Sky Villas".
9. The tripartite agreement for Sale and Construction dated 23/05/2015 entered into between the Complainant, the landowners represented by M/s Nucleus Premium Properties Pvt. Ltd., the promotor/1<sup>st</sup> respondent through its Director Nashid M P, 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent and Nucleus Premium Properties Pvt. Ltd., promotor/builder is produced and marked as **Exhibit A1**. As per the agreement, the builder/promotor was to complete construction of the said apartments and hand over possession to the allottee within 24 months with a grace period of 3 months from the effective date or from the date on which the building plan/permit are sanctioned by the authorities concerned, whichever is later.
10. As per the above, the building must have been completed on or before September 2018. However, even the commencement of the works of the apartment above the ground level has not commenced and the counsel for the respondent has accepted the fact that they have abandoned the project.



11. In the above circumstances, the complainant is entitled to withdraw from the project under Section 18 of the Real Estate (Regulation & Development) Act 2016, and claim the return of the amount paid to the respondents along with interest from the date of receipt of payment by the promotor till refund to the complainant with interest.
12. The complainant had admittedly paid the instalments as detailed below on the respective dates as per the receipts produced with the complaint.

Payment Schedule

Date		Amount Paid
a. 30/12/2014	-	Rs. 30,000/-
b. 31/12/2014	-	Rs. 1,70,000/-
c. 10/01/2015	-	Rs. 2,50,000/-
d. 19/01/2015	-	Rs. 1,50,000/-
e. 02/03/2015	-	Rs. 6,00,000/-
<b>Total</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>Rs. 12,00,000/-</b>

13. The non-completion and non-delivery of possession by the Respondent are also admitted by the Respondents. The interest payable by the Respondent to the allottees is by State Bank of India PLR rate plus 2% from the date of payment till the date of refund as laid down in Rule 18 of Kerala Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2018. The present SBI PLR rate is 12.15% as of the date of the Order. The Complainant is entitled to get 14.15% simple interest on the amount paid, from the date of payment as detailed above in the payment schedule till the date of refund.
14. Section 18 of the Real Estate (Regulation & Development) Act 2016 stipulates that *“if the promoter fails to complete or is unable to give possession of an apartment, plot or building, in accordance with the terms*



*of the agreement for sale or duly completed by the date specified therein; or due to discontinuance of his business as a developer on account of suspension or revocation of the registration under this Act or for any other reason, he shall be liable on demand to the allottee, in case the allottee wishes to withdraw from the project, without prejudice to any other remedy available, to return the amount received by him in respect of that apartment, plot, building, as the case may be, with interest at such rate as may be prescribed in this behalf including compensation in the manner as provided under this Act, Provided that where an allottee does not intend to withdraw from the project, he shall be paid, by the promoter, interest for every month of delay, till the handing over of the possession, at such rate as may be prescribed”.*

15. Section 19(4) of the Act specifies that *“The allottee shall be entitled to claim the refund of amount paid along with interest at such rate as may be prescribed and compensation in the manner as provided under this Act, from the promoter, if the promoter fails to comply or is unable to give possession of the apartment, plot or building, as the case may be, in accordance with the terms of agreement for sale or due to discontinuance of his business as a developer on account of suspension or revocation of his registration under the provisions of this Act or the rules or regulations made thereunder”.*

16. While discussing the objects and reasons of the Act 2016 Supreme Court in Judgement dated 11/11/2021 M/s Newtech Promoters and Developers Pvt. Ltd Vs State of UP & Others had made a very important observation and the same is reproduced below

“The unqualified right of the allottee to seek refund referred under Section 18(1)(a) and Section 19(4) of the Act is not dependent on any contingencies or stipulations thereof. It appears that the legislature has consciously provided this right of refund on demand as an unconditional absolute right



to the allottee. If the Promoter fails to give possession of the apartment plot or building within the time stipulated under the terms of the agreement regardless of unforeseen events or stay orders of the Court/Tribunal, which is in either way attributable to the allottee/homebuyer, the promoter is under an obligation to refund the amount on demand with interest at the rate prescribed by the State Government including compensation in the manner provided under the Act with the proviso that if the allottee does not wish to withdraw from the project, he shall be entitled for interest for the period of delay till handing over possession at the rate prescribed”

17. Hence, the Complainant herein is entitled to get the refund of amount along with interest and Respondents are liable to refund the amount along with the interest as prayed for. As per Rule 18 of Kerala Real Estate (Regulation & Development) Rules 2018, the rate of interest payable by the Promoter to the Allottee shall be State Bank of India's Benchmark Prime Lending Rate Plus Two Percent and shall be computed as simple interest. The Complainant is entitled for refund of the amount of Rs. 12,00,000 Lakhs paid by him along with interest at the rate of SBI PLR + 2 Percent per annum, from the date of payment till date of receipt of refund with interest. The Respondents have not filed any counter statement or submitted any documents from their part.

18. On the basis of the above facts and findings, invoking Section 37 of the Act, this Authority hereby passes the following order:-

- 1) The Respondents are directed to return the total amount received by them, **Rs.12,00,000/-** to the Complainant with simple interest @ 14.15% per annum from the date of payment to the promoter/respondents, as per the payment schedule above till date of receipt of refund of the amount paid to the respondents with interest
- 2) If the Respondents fail to pay the aforesaid sum with interest as directed above within a period of 45 days from the date of receipt of



this order, the Complainant is at liberty to recover the aforesaid sum from the Respondents and their assets by executing this decree in accordance with Section 40 (1) of the Real Estate (Regulation & Development) Act and Rules.

Dated this the 11<sup>th</sup> December of 2021

Sd/-  
Sri M.P Mathews  
Member

Sd/-  
Smt. Preetha P Menon  
Member

Sd/-  
Sri. P H Kurian  
Chairman

/True Copy/Forwarded By/Order/

  
Secretary (Legal)  
**APPENDIX**

**Exhibits on the side of the Complainants**

- Exhibit A1 : Copy of the Sale and Construction agreement
- Exhibit A2 : Payment details
- Exhibit A3 : Brochure of Aura Project
- Exhibit A4 : Photograph showing status of work site AURA Sky Villas
- Exhibit A5 : Email to the respondents from the complainants.